

ESTHER AUGUR.

[To accompany bill H. R. No. 531.]

JULY 21, 1842.

Mr. BARCOCK, from the Committee on Revolutionary Pensions, submitted the following

REPORT :

*The Committee on Revolutionary Pensions, to whom was referred the petition and papers of Esther Augur, widow of Felix Augur, late of Murray, Orleans county, New York, report :*

That it appears, by the affidavit of said Esther, she was married to Felix Augur on the 8th of December, 1784, and that he died July 21st, 1839, leaving her a widow.

Mrs. Adri Porter swears that she was present at the wedding of Felix Augur and Esther Augur, which took place about the 1st of December, 1784.

The following is an extract from a letter of J. L. Edwards, Commissioner of Pensions, in reply to a letter addressed to him by the committee, asking for any information in his possession in relation to the revolutionary services of Felix Augur :

"First term under Capt. Higgins, of Col. Douglass's regiment, raised by act of 9th of June, 1776, to serve till 25th December, unless sooner discharged, estimated by claimant at six months; is known to have been but five months.

"Second term, of two months, as substitute for his father, under Capt. Butrous, at Saybrook, in March and April, 1776; he would be required to furnish proof from the archives of Connecticut that such a term of service was rendered by the company commanded by that officer.

"Third term, of eight months, under Capt. Palmer, of Col. Ely's regiment of State troops, would be allowed.

"Fourth term, of twelve months, under Capt. Chapman, of Col. McClellan's regiment of State troops, in 1778, would be reduced to six months by the rolls and documents in this office. By the terms of the act, they were enlisted and organized for twelve months, but were required by the terms of the act to serve alternately, one-half at a time, for three months only. The actual service in field or garrison of each private was but six months, according to the rolls and limitations of the act.

"You will thus perceive, in reviewing his papers, he would now be allowed for nineteen months' service as a private—\$63 33 per annum."

In consideration of the above facts, your committee ask leave to report a bill for nineteen months' services.

REPORT

1850

1850

Mr. Parker, from the Committee on Revolutionary Pensions, submitted the following

REPORT

The Committee on Revolutionary Pensions, to whom was referred the petition and papers of Esther August, widow of Felix August, late of Kentucky, Christian County, New York, report

That it appears by the affidavit of said Esther, she was married to Felix August on the 24th of December, 1784, and that he died July 21st, 1838, leaving her a widow.

Mrs. August further swears that she was present at the wedding of Felix August and Esther August, which took place about the 1st of December, 1784.

The following is an extract from a letter of J. L. Edwards, Chairman of Pensions, in reply to a letter addressed to him by the committee, dated for any information in his possession in relation to the revolutionary services of Felix August.

First term under Capt. Higgins, of Col. Douglas's regiment, raised by act of June, 1778, to serve till 25th December, unless sooner discharged; estimated by claimant at six months; is known to have been but six months.

Second term, of two months, as substitute for his father, under Capt. [illegible] at Saybrook, in March and April, 1778; he would be required to furnish proof from the archives of Connecticut that such a term of service was rendered by the company commanded by that officer.

Third term, of eight months, under Capt. Palmer, of Col. Ely's regiment, of State troops, would be allowed.

Fourth term, of twelve months, under Capt. Chapman, of Col. McClure's regiment of State troops, in 1778, would be reduced to six months by the terms of the act. By the terms of the act, they were granted and organized for twelve months, but were required by the terms of the act to serve alternately, one half at a time, for three months only. The actual service in field or garrison of each private was but six months, according to the rolls and limitations of the act.

You will thus perceive, in reviewing his papers, he would now be allowed for nineteen months' service as a private—\$23 33 per annum.

In consideration of the above facts, your committee ask leave to report in favor of nineteen months' service.